	· ·					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,629	08/29/2001		Toshiya Takahashi	2001-1215A	5593 .	
513 WENDEROTH	7590 08/07/200 H, LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAMINER				
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				TOPGYAL, GELEK W		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -		•	2621		
		•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/940,629	TAKAHASHI, TOSHIYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gelek Topgyal	2621	

	Gelek Topgyal	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		i ⊏ below),	•				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
			•				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 29, 35 and 36 requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: amendments to claims 29, 35 and 36 requires further consideration and search.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastoni

TC 2600

for Thai Tran